

Periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 9, paragraphs 1 to 4a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 5, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product name: Danske Invest Global Sustainable Future 2 KL
Legal entity identifier: 5493004V8ES9R4SMHC45

Sustainable investment objective

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The EU Taxonomy is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852 establishing a list of environmentally sustainable economic activities. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?



Yes



No



It made sustainable investments with an environmental objective: 69%



in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy



in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy



It made sustainable investments with a social objective: 30%



It promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of % of sustainable investments



with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy



with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy



with a social objective



It promoted E/S characteristics, but did not make any sustainable investments

To what extent was the sustainable investment objective of this financial product met?



Exclusions on Extended Enhanced Sustainability Standards were added in March 2025

Exclusions on PAB were added in March 2025

Exclusions on Sustainability Risk were added in March 2025

The objective of the sustainable investments of the fund was to contribute to one or more of the UN SDGs. The UN SDGs consist of 17 goals including underlying targets that contribute to social and environmental objectives. The individual SDGs are: SDG 1: No Poverty; SDG 2: Zero Hunger; SDG 3: Good Health and Well-being; SDG 4: Quality Education; SDG 5: Gender Equality; SDG 6: Clean Water and Sanitation; SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy; SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth; SDG 9: Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure; SDG 10: Reduced Inequalities; SDG 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities; SDG 12: Responsible Consumption and Production; SDG 13: Climate Action; SDG 14: Life Below Water; SDG 15: Life On Land; SDG 16: Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions; and SDG 17: Partnerships for the Goals.


The fund contributed to the UN SDGs through investments in:

- issuers generating 50% or more of their revenue from products and services (“product lines”) identified as contributing to one or more SDGs according to Danske Bank’s SDG Model. An issuer may also meet the criteria for a sustainable investment if its activities predominantly contribute to environmental objectives that are aligned with the EU Taxonomy. However, the fund is under no obligation to make such investments. The measured contributions of the issuers to the individual SDGs are reported in the breakdown below (see “Product lines aligned with the SDGs”).

The fund also invested in activities substantially contributing to one or more of the environmental objectives of the EU Taxonomy. The reported share of economic activities aligned with the EU Taxonomy of 1% as based on revenue can be attributed with 1.2% on activities in support of the climate change mitigation objective; 0.0% on activities in support of the climate change adaptation objective; 0% on activities in support of sustainable use and protection of water and marine resources, 0% on activities in support of transitioning to a circular economy, 0% on activities in support of pollution prevention and control; and 0% on activities in support of protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems (see “To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?”).

Breakdown of the sustainable investments' contributions to the UN SDGs

This graph demonstrates a breakdown of how the sustainable investments of the fund contributed to the UN SDGs as based on the SDG Classification developed by Danske Bank. The breakdown demonstrates the contribution as measured by end-of-year.



Other environmental/social characteristics

As an integral part of attaining the sustainable investment objective, the fund promoted:

1. Sound sustainability practices through inclusion and weighting of issuers demonstrating responsible handling of environmental, social and governance aspects.
2. Environmental stewardship through inclusion and weighting of issuers demonstrating responsible

handling of environmental matters assessed against climate-related parameters.

3. Adherence to the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, conventions adopted by the International Labour Organization (ILO) and other international minimum safeguards as well as good governance principles through an enhanced sustainability standards screening.
4. Certain minimum environmental safeguards through exclusions.
5. Certain minimum ethical and social safeguards through exclusions.

The fund considered and addressed principal adverse impacts. This included a commitment to conduct active ownership, if prompted in accordance with relevant processes and policies, including through voting.

The fund did not apply a benchmark for the attainment of its sustainable investment objective.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

The graphs and tables below demonstrate the performance of the sustainability indicators measured in relation to the environmental and/or social characteristics of the fund. The sustainability indicators are not subject to an assurance provided by an auditor or other review by a third party.

For additional information, please refer to the "SFDR Reading Guide" in this report and the document "Sustainability-related disclosure", which is available under the heading "Sustainability-related disclosures for our funds" at:

https://www.danskeinvest.lu/page/responsible_investments_insight

Sustainable Investments

Indicator: The weighted share of investments in the fund contributing to the UN SDGs and deemed to be sustainable investments on the basis of:

- Danske Bank's SDG Model, which measures a company's positive contribution to the SDGs on the basis of the issuer's revenue from activities contributing positively to one or more SDGs (the model applies to equity shares, credit bonds and similar listed securities)





The graph below demonstrates the weighted share of the fund's sustainable investments.

Investments of the fund that do not qualify as sustainable investments are marked "Other (non-classified) investments".

For information on the weighted measured contributions of the sustainable investments to each of the SDGs, see "To what extent was the sustainable investment objective of this financial product met?".

Binding element: For the attainment of its sustainable investment objective, the fund only invests in sustainable investments contributing to the environmental and/or social objectives of the fund. The fund is only allowed to make other investments if used for liquidity and/or hedging purposes.


Sustainability indicators measure how the sustainable objectives of this financial product are attained



Sound sustainability practices

Indicator: Weighted ESG score of the portfolio. The ESG score is based on an internally developed model. Data coverage for this indicator was 99%.


Binding element: Issuers are selected to ensure that the fund at average has a profile that corresponds to or exceeds an ESG score of 50. Individual companies may score below 50 as long as the total portfolio of fund scores 50 or above in respect to this score.



Sound environmental stewardship

Indicator: Weighted Carbon Risk Rating score of the portfolio. The Carbon Risk Rating is based on an external rating from ISS ESG. Data coverage for this indicator was 99%

Binding element: issuers are selected to ensure that the fund at average has a profile that corresponds to or exceeds a Carbon-Risk-Rating score of 50. Individual companies may score below 50 as long as the total portfolio of fund scores 50 or above in respect to this score.



Exclusions

Indicator: The number of excluded investments in the fund's portfolio and the number of investments on the exclusion lists as a result of the exclusion criteria.


The selected indicators demonstrate whether the fund has adhered to its commitment of not investing into issuers covered by the applied exclusions, but do not provide an indication on impact that the exclusion category has had on the fund. To the extent a reference benchmark exists such impact is demonstrated by the number of excluded investments in the benchmark as the benchmark is considered representative for the investment universe of the fund. The number of exclusions shown in the table and chart below are based on the aggregate number of exclusions on the exclusion list for the applicable exclusion categories at the end of the reference period. An issuer may be counted excluded in more than one exclusion category, meaning that the total number of exclusions in the chart is not necessarily representative to the total number of excluded issuers in the the fund or benchmark.

Binding element: The fund does not select investments that are excluded on the basis of the exclusion criteria and thresholds used as sustainability indicators to define such adverse activities.

Exclusion	Issuers on Danske Bank's exclusion list	Excluded issuers in BM (number)	Weight of BM excluded	Total number of excluded companies in portfolio
Alcohol	296	11	0.3%	0

Thermal coal	368	23	0.9%	0
Controversial weapons	45	1	0.1%	0
Gambling	307	12	0.2%	0
Good governance*	25	0	0.0%	0
Military equipment	201	31	3.1%	0
Norms*	425	16	1.4%	0
Fossil fuels	2202	122	6.1%	0
Principal Adverse Impacts	1582	16	0.6%	0
Peatfired powergeneration	1	0	0.0%	0
Pornography	11	0	0.0%	0
Excluded countries*	25	0	0.0%	0
Statens pensjonsfond utland	180	41	3.3%	0
Tar sands	53	5	0.2%	0
Tobacco	120	5	0.7%	0
Paris-Aligned Benchmark	1258	97	5.8%	0
Extended Enhanced Sustainability Standards	34	7	0.5%	0
Sustainability risk	24	0	0.0%	0


*A part of Enhanced Sustainability Standards



Engagements

Indicator: Number of engagement activities applied to issuers in the fund's portfolio. The graph below illustrates engagement activities registered by Danske Bank or delegated managers on issuers in the fund's portfolio. Engagements registered did not necessarily take place as part of the direct management of the fund.


Binding element: The fund is committed to ensuring engagement with issuers in the the fund's portfolio in accordance with the Active Ownership Policy of Danske Invest Management A/S.



Votings

Indicator: Number of voted proposals.

Binding element: The fund commits to vote on proposals concerning environmental and/or social matters in accordance with the Active Ownership Policy of Danske Invest Management A/S.



...and compared to previous periods?

The tables above provide a historical comparison against previous reference periods.

How did the sustainable investments not cause significant harm to any sustainable investment objective?

The fund's exclusions limited the fund from investing in issuers that cause significant harm to social and environmental objectives. The fund's methodology for sustainable investments also incorporated additional constraints, including by integrating indicators for the principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors as well as social minimum safeguards (see "How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?" and "Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights?").

"Do no significant harm" assessments made in respect of sustainable investments classified as taxonomy-aligned were based on screening criteria defined in the EU Taxonomy and associated delegated acts.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Do no significant harm considerations for the fund's sustainable investments were supplemented with thresholds on principal adverse impact indicators for investee companies relating to greenhouse gas emissions, sector exposure to fossil fuels, consumption and production of non-renewable energy sources, energy consumption intensity, investments in companies with no carbon emission reduction initiatives, activities adversely impacting biodiversity-sensitive areas, emissions to water, hazardous waste and radioactive waste, as well as social and employee matters. Even if an investment positively contributed to an environmental or social objective and was generally permitted according to the fund's exclusion criteria, the investment still had to comply with current PAI-indicator thresholds to be considered a sustainable investment. The thresholds are defined on the basis of assessments of when an exposure to any of these indicators has such an impact that it should be perceived per se as causing significant harm to environmental or social objectives. This assessment is revised continuously in step with improvements in underlying data and developments in the understanding of 'do no significant harm'. To see the current thresholds, please refer to the sustainability-related disclosures of the fund in the document "Sustainability-related disclosure", available at:

https://www.danskeinvest.lu/page/responsible_investments_insight

under the heading "Sustainability-related disclosures on our funds".

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights?

The sustainable investments of the fund were aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and UN Guiding Principles' on Business and Human Rights. These principles were safeguarded through the exclusions of the fund relating to conduct and activities harmful to society, which is based on the enhanced sustainability standards screening of Danske Bank.

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?



The fund has measured the principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors on the basis of the principal adverse impact indicators ("PAI indicators") defined in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1288.

The average performance measured for the PAI-indicators considered by the fund is outlined in the table below. The data coverage for the individual indicators varies greatly. For this reason, the measured impacts are supplemented with information on the coverage per indicator. Information on the data sources and calculation principles are available in the "SFDR Reading Guide".

The figures (including data coverage) have been recalculated for prior reference periods to ensure comparability with calculations performed for 2025.

"Coverage" in the table measures data coverage for eligible assets of investee companies or sovereigns.

For further information on the actions taken in respect of the relevant indicators, please see the outline below the table.

Indicators for investments in investee companies (represents 99% of the total investments)				
Greenhouse gas emissions (GHG)	2025 Impact / Coverage	2024 Impact / Coverage	2023 Impact / Coverage	2022 Impact / Coverage

Waste – Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio		2025 Impact / Coverage	2024 Impact / Coverage	2023 Impact / Coverage	2022 Impact / Coverage
14	Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste generated by investee companies per million EUR invested (weighted average)	<1 / 51%	<1 / 45%	<1 / 57%	56 / 18%

Social and employee matters		2025 Impact / Coverage	2024 Impact / Coverage	2023 Impact / Coverage	2022 Impact / Coverage
15	Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (share of investments)	0% / 100%	0% / 100%	0% / 100%	0% / 100%
16	Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (Share of investments without policies to monitor)	8% / 100%	9% / 100%	22% / 100%	6% / 100%
17	Unadjusted gender pay gap (average)	12% / 18%	2% / 11%	1% / 7%	<1% / 14%
18	Board gender diversity (Average ratio of female to male)	37% / 100%	36% / 97%	36% / 100%	36% / 100%
19	Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) (Share of investments)	0% / 100%	0% / 100%	0% / 100%	0% / 100%
20	Insufficient whistleblower protection (Share of investments without policies on the protection of whistleblowers)	0% / 100%	0% / 100%	0% / 100%	0% / 97%
21	Lack of human rights policy (Share of investments without a human rights policy)	28% / 100%	31% / 100%	49% / 100%	24% / 100%

Actions taken in relation to indicators on investee companies

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Indicators 1–11 concerning climate-related factors were prioritised through the fund's climate-related exclusions. During the reference period, 368 issuers were on the exclusion list for thermal coal, and 1 were on the list for peat-fired power generation, 2,202 on the list for fossil fuels, 1,258 on the Paris Aligned Benchmark (PAB) list, and 53 on the list for tar sands.

11 engagement activities were logged for issuers in the fund for issues relating to these indicators.

The sustainable investments of the the fund integrated the thresholds defined for the PAI indicators in the assessment of whether the investment caused significant harm to an environmental objective. See “How did the sustainable investments not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?”

Biodiversity

Indicator 12 concerning biodiversity was partly covered by the funds enhanced sustainability standards screening. During the reference period, more than 50 issuers were on the exclusion list due to matters among others associated to biodiversity.

2 engagement activities were logged for issuers in the fund relating to this indicator.

The sustainable investments of the fund integrated the thresholds for this PAI indicator in the assessment of whether the investment caused significant harm to an environmental objective. See

“How did the sustainable investments not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?”.

Water and Waste

Indicators 13 and 14 regarding emissions to water and hazardous waste were partially covered by the fund's extended sustainability screening. During the reference period, there were 110 issuers on the exclusion list due to conditions related to harmful environmental practices, including in some cases related to emissions to water and hazardous waste.

3 engagement activities were logged for issuers in the fund in this regard.

The sustainable investments of the fund integrated the thresholds for this PAI indicator in the assessment of whether the investment caused significant harm to an environmental objective. See “How did the sustainable investments not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?”.

Social and Employee Matters

Indicators 15-21 regarding social and employee matters were partially covered by Danske Bank's enhanced sustainability screening and exclusions for controversial weapons. In the reference period, there were 110 issuers on the exclusion list relating to the enhanced sustainability standards screening for matters related to these topics, including exclusions due to specific breaches of human rights, good governance, and labour rights. The exclusion list for controversial weapons included 45 excluded issuers. 4 engagement activities were logged for issuers in the fund in relation to these issues.

The sustainable investments of the fund integrated the thresholds for these PAI indicators in the assessment of whether an issuer caused significant harm to a social objective. See “How did the sustainable investments not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?”.

Indicators applicable to sovereigns and supranationals

The fund did not invest in sovereigns and supranationals.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

Largest investments	ISIN	Sector	% Assets	Country
Microsoft Corp.	US5949181045	Information Technology	8.2%	US
Alphabet Inc. A	US02079K3059	Communication Services	7.4%	US
Nvidia Corp.	US67066G1040	Information Technology	5.5%	US
Eli Lilly & Co.	US5324571083	Health Care	4.2%	US
Jpmorgan Chase & Co.	US46625H1005	Financials	3.4%	US
S&P Global Inc.	US78409V1044	Financials	3.3%	US
Allianz Se	DE0008404005	Financials	3.2%	DE
Visa Inc. A	US92826C8394	Information Technology	3.2%	US
Asml Holding Nv	NL0010273215	Information Technology	3.0%	NL
Texas Instruments Inc.	US8825081040	Information Technology	2.9%	US
Waste Management Inc.	US94106L1098	Industrials	2.8%	US
Intuitive Surgical Inc.	US46120E6023	Health Care	2.5%	US
Schneider Electric Se	FR0000121972	Industrials	2.5%	FR
Astrazeneca Plc	GB0009895292	Health Care	2.4%	GB
Ecolab Inc.	US2788651006	Materials	2.4%	US

Top investments are calculated based on the largest monthly investments observed over a year. Out of the monthly observations, the single largest investment is identified and reported.

The list includes the investments constituting the greatest proportion of investments of the financial product during the reference period which is 1 January 2025 - 31 December 2025.



What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments

The “asset allocation” chart below demonstrates the extent to which the fund invested in sustainability-related investments. As reported the fund invested 99% of its investments in sustainable investments.


What was the asset allocation?

The fund has invested in sustainable investments in support of its sustainable investment objectives. From an allocation perspective, the minimum share of sustainable investments in the fund was 80% with 20% reserved for "non-sustainable investments".

The asset allocation is calculated against the total market value of the fund's investments. The reported proportion of EU Taxonomy-aligned activities is based on revenue figures reported for these activities against the total value.

For asset allocation in previous reference periods, see the table below the chart.

The asset allocation demonstrates average allocation for the year.



#1 Sustainable covers sustainable investments with environmental or social objective

#2 Not sustainable includes investments which do not qualify as sustainable investments.

All asset allocation percentages are rounded to whole percentage points; therefore, summing the categories may produce minor discrepancies versus the reported figures.

Asset allocation of the fund compared to previous reference periods	2022 (%)	2023 (%)	2024 (%)	2025 (%)
#1A Sustainable	98	98	99	99
#2 Not sustainable	2	2	1	1
Environmental	57	34	40	69
Social	40	64	59	30
Taxonomy aligned	3	1	1	1
#2 Other Environmental	55	33	39	68

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

The graph is based on holdings with data coverage in respect to sector-allocation. The share of holdings where such data does not exist is marked as "No sector data". Weights for cash and derivatives are not reported meaning that the exposure weights not necessarily add to 100% in total.

The table reports also the fund's exposures to sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil. These sub-sectors are reported through the sub-sector classifications of: Oil & Gas Drilling, Oil & Gas Equipment & Services, Integrated Oil & Gas, Oil & Gas Exploration & Production, Oil & Gas Refining & Marketing, Oil & Gas Storage & Transportation, and Coal & Consumable Fuels.

Sector	Sub-sector	Pct.
Financials	Diversified Banks	8.99%
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals	8.07%
Information Technology	Semiconductors	8.06%
Communication Services	Interactive Media & Services	6.71%
Information Technology	Systems Software	6.68%
Financials	Financial Exchanges & Data	6.35%
Industrials	Electrical Components & Equipment	6.23%
Information Technology	Application Software	5.46%
Health Care	Life Sciences Tools & Services	5.13%
Health Care	Health Care Equipment	4.55%
Industrials	Environmental & Facilities Services	3.08%
Industrials	Industrial Machinery & Supplies & Components	2.96%
Financials	Transaction & Payment Processing Services	2.65%
Communication Services	Integrated Telecommunication Services	2.63%
Information Technology	Electronic Equipment & Instruments	2.36%
Information Technology	Semiconductor Materials & Equipment	2.22%
Materials	Specialty Chemicals	2.17%
Financials	Insurance Brokers	2.00%
Financials	Multi-line Insurance	1.92%
Industrials	Industrial Conglomerates	1.78%
Financials	Life & Health Insurance	1.45%
Materials	Industrial Gases	1.34%
Industrials	Building Products	1.33%
Consumer Discretionary	Leisure Facilities	1.17%
Information Technology	IT Consulting & Other Services	1.02%
Consumer Discretionary	Education Services	1.02%
Consumer Discretionary	Automotive Parts & Equipment	0.95%
Health Care	Health Care Supplies	0.90%

Industrials	Construction & Engineering	0.81%
Energy	Oil & Gas Storage & Transportation	No investments
Energy	Oil & Gas Refining & Marketing	No investments
Energy	Oil & Gas Exploration & Production	No investments
Energy	Integrated Oil & Gas	No investments
Energy	Oil & Gas Equipment & Services	No investments
Energy	Coal & Consumable Fuels	No investments
Energy	Oil & Gas Drilling	No investments

To what extent were sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?



The fund did not invest based on a commitment to invest in accordance with the EU Taxonomy. The fund's measured share of investments aligned with the EU Taxonomy for the reference period is reported in the graph below. For the purposes of that calculation, the fund screened for activities aligned with the EU Taxonomy as based on the screening criteria set-out in Article 3 of the EU Taxonomy. The measurement of taxonomy-aligned activities is based on company reported data made available through ISS ESG."

The reported share of economic activities aligned with the EU Taxonomy of 1.4% as based on revenue can be attributed with 1.2% on activities in support of the climate change mitigation objective; 0.0% on activities in support of the climate change adaptation objective; 0% on activities in support of sustainable use and protection of water and marine resources, 0% on activities in support of transitioning to a circular economy, 0% on activities in support of pollution prevention and control; and 0% on activities in support of protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems. For some of the companies' reported taxonomy-aligned activities, there is no data on which of the environmental objectives the activities of these investments contribute to. The total EU Taxonomy-aligned share is rounded to a whole number, while objective-levels are disclosed to one decimal place for greater granularity and transparency; minor rounding differences may therefore occur.

The extent to which the investments meet the screening criteria of the EU Taxonomy requirements is not subject to an assurance provided by an auditor.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy*?

- Yes
- In fossil gas
- In nuclear energy
- No


*Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for fossil gas include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For nuclear energy, criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

The graph below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investment of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.



* For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures.


What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Taxonomy alignment	2022 (%)	2023 (%)	2024 (%)	2025 (%)
Investments aligned with the EU taxonomy	3	1	1	1
Investments aligned with the EU taxonomy (enabling activities)	1	0	1	1
Investments aligned with the EU taxonomy (transitional activities) - Fund	0	0	0	0

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of

- Turnover reflects the 'greenness' of investee companies today.
- Capital expenditure (CapEx) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant for a transition to a green economy.
- Operational expenditure (OpEx) reflects the green operational activities of investee

How did the percentage of investments aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?




For the reference year 2022, conservative estimates were used to measure and report the proportion of activities aligned with the EU Taxonomy. This approach differs from following reference years, where alignment with the EU Taxonomy is based solely on company-reported data made available through ISS ESG.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that were not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 68%.

The fund invested in these issuers outside the scope of the EU Taxonomy because investments in environmentally sustainable economic activities are not an active part of the fund's investment strategy. Also, the limited availability of data that allows the fund to determine the Taxonomy alignment of the activities of issuers in the fund's full investment universe remains a challenge.




are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that do not take into account the criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was 30%.

What investments were included under "Not sustainable", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?



The fund's underlying assets that did not contribute to the attainment of fund's sustainable investment objective were categorised in the "Not sustainable" investment bucket. The bucket included cash held as ancillary liquidity and derivatives used for hedging or risk management purposes.

By virtue of the nature of these investments, they lived up to minimum environmental or social safeguards.



What actions have been taken to attain the sustainable investment objective during the reference period?

During the reference period, the fund has managed the strategy in accordance with the binding elements ensuring the attainment of the sustainable investment objective. This means among others that issuers have been screened and, as relevant excluded by the fund, that issuers have been included on basis and criteria for sustainable investments, and that assessments were made in respect to needs of active ownership activities for issuers in the fund's portfolio.

For investee companies in the portfolio 11 engagements have been logged on climate/GHG related topics, 2 for biodiversity, 3 on hazardous waste and water emissions, and 4 on social and employee matters.



How did this financial product perform compared to the reference sustainable benchmark?

The fund did not use a reference benchmark for the attainment of its sustainable investment objective.

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the sustainable investment objective